

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

∹;

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/084,607	02/27/2002		Michael J. Buehler	33-858	1626		
	757	7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER			
	BRINKS HO P.O. BOX 10		ON & LIONE	HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S			
	CHICAGO,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,				3671			

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application N	o .	Applicant(s)					
			10/084,607		BUEHLER ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit	•				
	The MAU INO DATE of this communication		Gary Hartman		3671 agrandonas addra					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>02 Jan</u>	nuary 2003.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)∏ This a	ction is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-33,57 and 58</u> is/are pending in the application.										
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 12-33 and 58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Applicat	ion Papers									
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 										
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)										
Attachmen			_	_						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		4) [5) [6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-15					

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

1. The allowability of the claims, as set forth in the non-final Office action mailed September 30, 2003, was contingent upon the inclusion of claim limitations intermediate the respective objected to claims and their respective parent independent claims. This has not been done with any of the objected to claims. Applicant's response filed January 2, 2004 presents claims directed to several patentably distinct inventions and now includes many configurations not previously considered. Therefore, applicant's amendment has necessitated the restriction that follows.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Newly submitted claim 57 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: it is a patentably distinct subcombination of the originally presented combinations.
- 3. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 57 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 12, 13, 21, 22 and 58, drawn to a crash cushion system, classified in class
 404, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a crash cushion system, classified in class 404, subclass10.

Art Unit: 3671

III. Claims 23, 24 and 31, drawn to a crash cushion system, classified in class 256, subclass 13.1.

- IV. Claims 25-30, drawn to a crash cushion system, classified in class 188, subclass377.
- V. Claim 32, drawn to a crash cushion system, classified in class 256, subclass 1.
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skin to extend around only a portion of the perimeter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skin to extend around only a portion of the perimeter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions IV and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Art Unit: 3671

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skin to extend around only a portion of the perimeter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions V and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skin to extend around only a portion of the perimeter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skins to be mounted on outer surfaces of other deflector skins. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Art Unit: 3671

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skins to be mounted on outer surfaces of other deflector skins. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions V and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the deflector skins to be mounted on outer surfaces of other deflector skins. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the trailing edge to be positioned rearwardly of the tangent. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Art Unit: 3671

Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the trailing edge to be positioned rearwardly of the tangent. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

Inventions V and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the non-parallel relationship. The subcombination has separate utility such as a traffic director.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3671

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671